```
1
    Catherine Cabalo (CA Bar No. 248198)
    Peiffer Wolf Carr & Kane
 2
    4 Embarcadero Center, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
    San Francisco, CA 94111
    Telephone: 415.766.3592
    Facsimile: 415.402.0058
 4
    Email: ccabalo@pwcklegal.com
 5
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs
    Abdul Nevarez and Priscilla Nevarez
 6
 7
    Carolyn Northrop (CA Bar No. 237989)
    Thomas M. Gray (CA Bar No. 265212)
 8
    Dummit, Buchholz & Trapp
    1661 Garden Highway
    Sacramento, California 95833
    Telephone: 916.929.9600
10
    Facsimile: 916.927.5368
11
    Email: carolyn.northrop@dbtlaw.org
    thomas.gray@dbtlaw.org
12
    Attorneys for Defendant City of Santa Clara
13
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
                            NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
16
                                                    Case No. 5:17-cv-05225-BLF
     ABDUL NEVAREZ and PRISCILLA
17
                                                    Civil Rights
     NEVAREZ,
                  Plaintiffs,
18
           v.
                                                    STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
19
                                                    ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION
     CITY OF SANTA CLARA, and DOES 1-10,
                                                     WITH PREJUDICE
20
     Inclusive.
21
                                                    Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
                  Defendants.
                                                    41(a)(1)(A)(ii)
22
23
24
                                          STIPULATION
25
           Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) and ECF 23 (the Consent
26
    Decree and Order ("CDO")), the parties jointly request the dismissal of the within legal action
27
    with prejudice subject to the Court retaining jurisdiction of this action to enforce provisions of
28
    STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL W/ PREJUDICE
    CASE NO: 5:17-cv-05225-BLF
```

1	the CDO, including dispute resolution, for eighteen (18) months after the date of entry of the	
2	CDO, or until all injunctive relief is completed, whichever occurs later.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: September 10, 2018	PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE
5	23 <b>,</b>	
6		/s/ Catherine Cabalo
7		BY: Catherine Cabalo
8		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
		Abdul Nevarez and Priscilla Nevarez
9	Dated: September 11, 2018	DUMMIT, BUCHHOLZ & TRAPP
10		
11		/s/ Thomas M. Gray
12		BY: Thomas M. Gray
12		Attorneys for Defendant City of Santa Clara
13		
14		
	SIGNATORY ATTESTATION	
15	51	IGNATORY ATTESTATION
15 16	_	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing
	The e-filing attorney hereb	
16	The e-filing attorney hereb	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other
16 17	The e-filing attorney hereb	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing
16 17 18	The e-filing attorney hereb	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other
16 17 18 19	The e-filing attorney hereb document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.
16 17 18 19 20 21	The e-filing attorney hereb document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The e-filing attorney herebound document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme Dated: September 11, 2018	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.  /s/ Catherine Cabalo
16 17 18 19 20 21	The e-filing attorney herebourned document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conformed Dated: September 11, 2018  Pursuant to the stipulation	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.    Solution   Catherine Cabalo   ORDER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The e-filing attorney herebound document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme Dated: September 11, 2018	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.    Solution   Solution   Solution
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The e-filing attorney herebourned document and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conformed Dated: September 11, 2018  Pursuant to the stipulation	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.  /s/ Catherine Cabalo  ORDER  of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO  Ach Laum Treman
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The e-filing attorney herebodocument and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme Dated: September 11, 2018  Pursuant to the stipulation ORDERED.	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.    Solution   Catherine Cabalo
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The e-filing attorney herebodocument and authorization to file signatory indicated by a conforme Dated: September 11, 2018  Pursuant to the stipulation ORDERED.	by attests that concurrence in the content of the foregoing the the foregoing document has been obtained from the other and signature (/s/) within the foregoing e-filed document.  /s/ Catherine Cabalo  ORDER  of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO  Ach Laum Treman

28